	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/798,372	MAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>30</u>	
(1) <u>Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D</u> .	(3)	
(2) Max Bachrach {Reg. No. 45,479}.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 7 February 2005	Time: <u>2pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 13 and 16-21 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – it appropriate)

Application No. 10/798,372

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative to discuss: (1) a substituent in claim 13 lacking antecedent basis from claim 1; (2) claims 16 and 17 needing "the" before "not"; and (3) claims 18-21 lacking antecedent basis from claim 1. Mr. Bachrach gave the Examiner permission to make the discussed changes.